

Why do some individuals connected with our government's Dept. of Energy not recognize The Burner Booster™ as a positive scientific breakthrough?

Many independent labs have spent many days in disbelief of the Burner Booster's™ performance, but in the end agree that savings are well **above** 12% with emissions hardly ever seen so clear with such low oxygen levels. Our technology breaks lots of the assumed rules of 40-year-old textbooks written about combustion. One easy burn test on a small commercial system was O₂ at 2.1%, CO at zero, smoke at zero and CO₂ at 14.2%. Yes, I could even go to oxygen (O₂) below 1.5% before my CO went to 5ppm (which is cleaner than most of today's burners).

- Wayne Combustion System labs filed a report to back in 2010. [See Report](#)

However, in 2013, a report that was outlined by Brookhaven National Laboratory (BHL), was completed at The Burner Booster's™ EES labs – “boilers from 1-21gph.” Testing took two months to complete with many tests being repeated for accuracy.

After several months of many weekly requests, the feedback from BHL when invited to come to our labs and witness these tests in-person (as they said they would), was, “These results are too highly improbable and not worth our time and effort.” That summer we also heard from a Colorado Energy Testing facility (affiliated with the U.S. government DOE) that they had heard from another lab that The Burner Booster™ is a scam and that our science is flawed.

My response to Brookhaven was, “If I can't duplicate all of these tests and results, I will close the doors of my labs and go away,” to which I received no response. Some speculate that if Brookhaven witnesses The Burner Booster™ and its results, they will have to admit that all of *their* previous findings, assumptions and claims (and interfering with us getting funding) were flawed. Others suggest that Brookhaven helps the oil industry sell more oil and the government can collect taxes on those sales.

To try to quiet us, two Brookhaven-affiliated individuals came to our EES labs in 2012, not to see the technology firsthand, but to tell me and another EES owner, “You're giving the oil heating industry a bad name saying you save people 15-25% on oil.” They also told us our Burner Booster™ fuel droplets are too small. They touted how reducing the 3% Sulfur complex in the oil will help consumers. I challenged that, but they said it wouldn't be that big of a deal to only lose less than 1.2% of the heat value. To this I

commented, “It would be about 8% less and the cost at the refinery would add 8 cents per gallon.” I pushed that point even though they said they didn’t study the delta heat value, just the emissions because it was not a factor.

My response was, “ Well, why do I have three EES owners who were customers first and another 12 sites showing above 20% savings? Are they smoking something?” They responded, “They must be smoking something because combustion efficiency is at 95% and saving over 6 % is impossible.” After telling us they didn’t want to see how The Burner Booster™ works, I quickly showed them the door. “Well, if that’s how government-affiliated people think, then America is screwed,” I said to my partner.

- See the Conclusion section (one of two sections) report Brookhaven admitted receiving in June 2013 from EES Labs. Wayne Combustion System labs forwarded the report to Brookhaven Labs. (After eight months of not returning more than one phone call, they have been silent.)

[Click Here See EES report to government lab they outlined along with a well-known oil burner company. F-1.](#)